

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/002,603	10/30/2001	Alexander Gaiger	210121.465C6	3627		
	7590 07/15/201 ECTHAL PROPERTY	CLAW GROUP PLLC	EXAM	UNER		
701 FIFTH AVE			SCHWADRON	SCHWADRON, RONALD B		
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA	A 98104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1644			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/15/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/002,603	GAIGER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIK (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of the communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wit apply and will expire SIK (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wit apply and will expire SIK (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by state the, cause the application to become ARADONED (38 U.S.C, § 133). Any reply received by the Officio later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patter term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2.11.31.35.36 and 38-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2.11.31.35.36.38-41 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No

Pri

Common copies of the pricity accuments in	avo boon roccirca.	
Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Application No	
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (F 	documents have been received in this National Stage	
	* "	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	

U.S.	Patent	and	Trade	mark	Offic
DT	OI 3	201	Dow	OQ.	120

Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.

6) Other: _____.

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :2/18/10, 1/27/10, 1/7/10, 10/1/09.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/002,603

Art Unit: 1644

- The replacement drawings filed 9/23/09 are approved.
- Regarding applicants attempt to simultaneously change the inventorship under 1.48(a) and 1.48(b), the circumstances dictate that the entire correction be made under 1.48(a) (see 37 CFR 1.48(a) which applies to situations wherein inventors are added and deleted).

The request to correct the inventorship of this nonprovisional application under 37 CFR 1.48(a) is deficient because the request lacks the required statement under 1.48(a)(2) for the deleted inventors.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/002,603 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

4. The rejection of claims 2,11,31,35,36 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 7144581 for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action is withdrawn in view of the TD filed 9/23/09.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- The previously pending rejection of claim 31 under 35 USC 112 second paragraph for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action is withdrawn in view of the amended claim.
- Claims 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 40 is indefinite in that it is a dependent claim that depends from itself. Claims 39/41 are indefinite in that they lack antecedent basis in claim 2 because they are composition claims which depend from a compound that is not a composition.

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 2,11,31,35,36,38,40,41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gaiger et al. (US Patent 7,144,581).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/002,603

Art Unit: 1644

The applied reference has a common inventor/assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Gaiger et al. disclose the peptide of SEQ. ID. No. 333 (see column 96 wherein said peptide is also SEQ. ID. No. 333). Gaiger et al. teach the claimed peptide in the claimed composition (see columns 23-26). Gaiger et al. teach said peptide in a composition with adjuvants (see column 25) and use of MPL-SE (aka monophosphoryl lipid A) as an adjuvant (see Example 13). Gaiger et al. teach that said composition can contain aluminum salt (see column 25, second paragraph).

Regarding applicants comments, the requested change of inventorship is defective as per above.

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/002,603

Art Unit: 1644

(571)272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on 571 272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ron Schwadron/ Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644